



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,839	04/30/2001	Robert E. Johnson	10004559-1	3219

7590 01/11/2008  
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER
----------

BULLOCK, JOSHUA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2162

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/11/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/845,839

Applicant(s)

JOHNSON ET AL.

Examiner

Joshua Bullock

Art Unit

2162

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to amendments, arguments, and remarks filed on October 26, 2007, in which claims 1-30 are presented for further examination.
2. Claim 22 has been amended.
3. Claims 1-30 are pending.

### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed October 26, 2007, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See Examiner's response below.
5. With respect to applicant's argument in association with claims 1, 21, & 29, applicant asserts that Blumenau does not disclose "storing discovery information relating to a storage device". Examiner respectfully disagrees. As noted in the previous action discovery information is identification information. Blumenau illustrates (FIGs. 1A-C) a storage system wherein this storage system is a storage device. Further Blumenau teaches (column 3, lines 12-16) that devices may be for example file servers, wherein these file servers are storage devices. File servers are responsible for storage and management of data files; therefore, file servers are storage devices. Thus, identification information or "discovery information" as disclosed by Blumenau (column 1, lines 7-9 & 52-67, column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12) of devices teaches the recited limitation, "storing discovery information relating to a storage device".

6. With respect to applicant's argument in association with claims 1, 21, & 29, applicant asserts that Blumenau does not disclose "querying a storage device for device identification information". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant asserts Blumenau may disclose querying file servers. As noted previous in the instant response file servers are storage devices and the storage system illustrated by Blumenau is a storage device. Giving the broadest reasonable interpretation a storage device is a component something used to perform a storage task, thus the storage system of Blumenau is a storage device. Therefore, Blumenau does in fact teach (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12) "querying a storage device for device identification information".

7. With respect to applicant's argument in association with claims 1, 21, & 29, applicant asserts that Blumenau does not disclose "comparing at least a portion of returned deice identification information to at least a portion of said stored discovery information". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant appears to argue the same point addressed in previous sections of the instant response above. Examiner maintains his position with respect to the same. As noted in the previous action, Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, column 7, lines 15-25) comparison of device identifiers with entries in a table, wherein this table store discovery information (column 8, lines 47-35, column 9, lines 26-51).

Hence, Applicant's arguments do not distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art of record.

In light of the forgoing arguments, the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections are hereby sustained.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Blumenau (US Patent No. 6,263,445 B1), hereinafter referred to as Blumenau.

In respect to Claim 1, Blumenau teaches:

- **a method comprising: storing discovery information relating to a storage device** (Discovery information is data which allows you to identify devices. Blumenau discloses (column 1, lines 7-9 & 52-67) storage device for managing stored data, wherein this data includes “discovery information” (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12).)
- **querying said storage device for device identification information** (Blumenau discloses (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12) queries to identify devices on the network which consists of world wide names and source identifiers which is “device identification information”).)
- **comparing at least a portion of returned device identification information to at least a portion of said stored discovery information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, column 7,

lines 15-25) comparison of device identifiers with entries in a table, wherein this table store discovery information (column 8, lines 47-35, column 9, lines 26-51).)

As per Claim 2, Blumenau teaches:

- **at least a portion of said stored discovery information includes device and host bus adapter information** (Blumenau discloses (column 6, lines 42-55) use of host bus adapter information.)

As per Claim 3, Blumenau teaches:

- **stored discovery information is obtained through at least one small computer system interface (SCSI) inquiry** (Blumenau discloses (column 5, lines 13-32) obtaining information through SCSI.)

As per Claim 4, Blumenau teaches:

- **stored discovery information is obtained through at least one element selected from the group consisting of: at least one system file; at least one system registry; and combinations thereof** (Blumenau discloses (column 3, lines 13-20, 58-67) obtaining information from file servers, wherein these files combined create a registry.)

As per Claim 5, Blumenau teaches:

- **stored discovery information is obtained through at least one element selected from the group consisting of: operating system kernel application programming interface call; host bus adapter**

**device driver library application programming interface; and some combination thereof** (Blumenau discloses (column 2, lines 8-17, column 4, lines 36-39) an interface for obtaining information.)

As per Claim 6, Blumenau teaches:

- **at least a portion of said returned device identification information includes Product ID, Vendor ID, and Product Revision information** (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-6)

As per Claim 7, Blumenau teaches:

- **returned device identification information includes standard device inquiry information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21) address information, wherein address information is standard device inquiry information.)

As per Claim 8, Blumenau teaches:

- **stored discovery information includes device address information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21) address information, wherein address information is standard device inquiry information.)

As per Claim 9, Blumenau teaches:

- **device address information includes claimed address information; and wherein said method further comprises: determining claimed address information for said storage device; and comparing said determined claimed address information to said stored claimed address information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21)

address information, wherein address information is standard device inquiry information.)

As per Claim 10, Blumenau teaches:

- **flagging said stored discovery information if said determined claimed address information does not match said stored claimed address information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, 47-65) comparison of address information, wherein request are ignored or dropped, wherein ignored or dropped requests are flagged data.)

As per Claim 11, Blumenau teaches:

- **stored discovery information further includes serial number information for said storage device, and wherein said method further comprises: querying said storage device for serial number information for said device** (Blumenau discloses (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-6) identification information, wherein a serial number is identification information.)
- **comparing said serial number information received in response to said serial number information query to said stored serial number information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, column 7, lines 15-25) comparison of device identifiers with entries in a table, wherein this table store discovery information (column 8, lines 47-35, column 9, lines 26-51), wherein serial number information is a device.)



As per Claim 12, Blumenau teaches:

- **querying said storage device for serial number information for said device** (Blumenau discloses (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12) queries to identify devices on the network which consists of world wide names and source identifiers which is "device identification information".)
- **accepting said stored device address information as valid if an error is returned in response to said query** (Blumenau discloses (column 3, lines 38-57) validation of identification information.)

As per Claim 13, Blumenau teaches:

- **querying includes at least one small computer system interface (SCSI) inquiry** (Blumenau discloses (column 5, lines 13-32) obtaining information through SCSI.)

As per Claim 14, Blumenau teaches:

- **flagging said stored discovery information if said at least a portion of said returned information does not match said at least a portion of said stored discovery information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, 47-65) comparison of address information, wherein request are ignored or dropped, wherein ignored or dropped requests are flagged data.)

As per Claim 15, Blumenau teaches:

- **deleting or updating said stored discovery information if said stored discovery information is flagged** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, 47-65) comparison of address information, wherein request are ignored or dropped, wherein ignored or dropped requests are deleted.)

As per Claim 16, Blumenau teaches:

- **storing discovery information includes storing discovery information on a host system and a storage management system** (Discovery information is data which allows you to identify devices. Blumenau discloses (column 1, lines 7-9 & 52-67) storage device for managing stored data, wherein this data includes "discovery information" (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12).)
- **wherein said deleting or updating said stored discovery information includes deleting or updating said discovery information stored at said host system and at said storage management system** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, 47-65) comparison of address information, wherein request are ignored or dropped, wherein ignored or dropped requests are deleted.)

As per Claim 17, Blumenau teaches:

- **transmitting an event to said storage managements system  
requesting said storage management system to delete or update  
said discovery information stored at said storage management  
system** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, 47-65) comparison  
of address information, wherein request are ignored or dropped, wherein  
ignored or dropped requests are deleted.)

As per Claim 18, Blumenau teaches:

- **storing said returned information as a new device** (Blumenau  
discloses (column 4, lines 50-61) new devices which consists of  
information.)

As per Claim 19, Blumenau teaches:

- **communicating an event requesting the addition of said returned  
information or an update of previous information using said  
returned information** (column 4, lines 13-34)

As per Claim 20, Blumenau teaches:

- **preventing communication between a storage management system  
and said device during said storing said returned information as a  
new device** (Blumenau discloses (column 9, lines 45-47) prevention of  
communication.)

In respect to Claim 21, Blumenau teaches:

- **a system comprising: means for storing discovery information for a storage device** (Discovery information is data which allows you to identify devices. Blumenau discloses (column 1, lines 7-9 & 52-67) storage device for managing stored data, wherein this data includes "discovery information" (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12).)
- **means for querying said storage device for device identification information** (Blumenau discloses (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12) queries to identify devices on the network which consists of world wide names and source identifiers which is "device identification information".)
- **means for comparing at least a portion of device identification information received in response to said query to at least a portion of said stored discovery information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, column 7, lines 15-25) comparison of device identifiers with entries in a table, wherein this table store discovery information (column 8, lines 47-35, column 9, lines 26-51).)

As per Claim 22, Blumenau teaches:

- **discovery information includes device address information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21) address information, wherein address information is standard device inquiry information.)

As per Claim 23, Blumenau teaches:

- **device address information includes claimed address information for said storage device; and wherein said system further comprises: means for determining claimed address information for said device** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21) address information, wherein address information is standard device inquiry information.)
- **means for comparing said determined claimed address information to said stored claimed address information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21) address information, wherein address information is standard device inquiry information.)
- **means for flagging said stored discovery information if said determined claimed address information does not match said stored claimed address information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, 47-65) comparison of address information, wherein request are ignored or dropped, wherein ignored or dropped requests are flagged data.)

As per Claim 24, Blumenau teaches:

- **discovery information further includes serial number information for said storage device, and wherein said system further comprises: means for querying said storage device for serial number information for said storage device** (Blumenau discloses

(column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-6) identification information, wherein a serial number is identification information.)

- **means for comparing said serial number information received in response to said serial number information query to said stored serial number information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, column 7, lines 15-25) comparison of device identifiers with entries in a table, wherein this table store discovery information (column 8, lines 47-35, column 9, lines 26-51), wherein serial number information is a device.)
- **means for flagging said stored discovery information if said received serial number information does not match said stored serial number information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, 47-65) comparison of address information, wherein request are ignored or dropped, wherein ignored or dropped requests are flagged data.)

As per Claim 25, Blumenau teaches:

- **means for querying said storage device for serial number information for said device** (Blumenau discloses (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12) queries to identify devices on the network which consists of world wide names and source identifiers which is "device identification information".)

- **means for accepting said stored device address information as valid if an error is returned in response to said query for serial number information** (Blumenau discloses (column 3, lines 38-57) validation of identification information.)

As per Claim 26, Blumenau teaches:

- **means for flagging said stored discovery information if said at least a portion of said received information does not match said at least a portion of said stored discovery information** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, 47-65) comparison of address information, wherein request are ignored or dropped, wherein ignored or dropped requests are flagged data.)

As per Claim 27, Blumenau teaches:

- **means for deleting or updating said stored discovery information if said stored discovery information is flagged** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, 47-65) comparison of address information, wherein request are ignored or dropped, wherein ignored or dropped requests are deleted.)

As per Claim 28, Blumenau teaches:

- **means for preventing communication between a storage management system and said storage device when said stored discovery information is being deleted or updated** (Blumenau discloses (column 9, lines 45-47) prevention of communication.)

In respect to Claim 29, Blumenau teaches:

- **a system comprising: at least one host system, wherein at least one storage device is embedded in or coupled to each of said at least one host system; and wherein each of said at least one host system stores information relating to said at least one storage device embedded in or coupled thereto** (Discovery information is data which allows you to identify devices. Blumenau discloses (column 1, lines 7-9 & 52-67) storage device for managing stored data, wherein this data includes "discovery information" (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12).)
- **at least one host agent process, wherein each of said at least one host agent process resides on a respective host system of said at least one host system** (column 4, lines 3-12)
- **wherein each of said at least one host agent process is operable to query said at least one storage device embedded in or coupled to said host system on which said host agent process resides for device identification information** (Blumenau discloses (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12) queries to identify devices on the network which consists of world wide names and source identifiers which is "device identification information".), **as well as to compare information returned by said at least one storage device to at least a portion of discovery information stored for said at least one storage device at**



**said host system to which said at least one storage device is coupled** (Blumenau discloses (column 8, lines 10-21, column 7, lines 15-25) comparison of device identifiers with entries in a table, wherein this table store discovery information (column 8, lines 47-35, column 9, lines 26-51).)

As per Claim 30, Blumenau teaches:

**at least one host agent process queries said at least one storage device during system start up or doing a discovery polling period** (Blumenau discloses (column 4, lines 62-67, column 5, lines 1-12) queries to identify devices on the network consisting of a host agent process, which consists of world wide names and source identifiers which is "device identification information".)



10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

**Conclusion**

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua Bullock whose telephone number is 571-270-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5pm EST M-F. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

  
Joshua Bullock  
Patent Examiner  
A.U. 2162  
01/04/2008  


  
JOHN BREENE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2160